RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 2 0 2006

Remarks/Arguments:

INVENTORS NETWORK

- 1. Applicant traverses the Examiner's rejection of claim 1 and 2 as being unpatentable with regard to Lander (U.S. patent 4,476,469) for the following reasons. Lander discloses a locator device or bleeper 2 that is attachable to a key ring 4, and the bleeper 2 includes a transponder powered by a battery 22 and micro circuitry 28 with interconnection to a sound emitter 30 and a light emitter 32. In addition, Lander includes a searcher device 50, and circuitry for generating selective address-code transmissions relative to the item to be located. The searcher device 50 also includes separate push buttons each of which actuates one of the address codes.
- 2. Scarcher devices 50 and 90 are designed for transmitting a range of predetermined address signals via the pressing of the appropriate buttons on the respective searcher devices 50 or 90. Moreover, the receiver unit is designed to receive the pulse code modulation of a radio frequency carrier wave, and then decode such wave so that the proper address code is selected.
- Despite the Examiner's contentions, Applicant's system doesn't require such 3. unique design features, and thus Applicant's system doesn't teach, suggest or disclose the particular address signal arrangement and pulse position modulation form of the RF frequency taught by Lander. Applicant's claims, as originally presented, are thus patentably distinguishable with regard to the Lander reference in spite of the Examiner's mistaken comparison of the Lander reference to Applicant's device as taught and claimed.
- 4. In view of the above, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of claims 1 and 2 and passage of the case to full issuance. No new subject matter has been added by this

amendment, and Applicant has made a good faith effort to respond to and overcome the Examiner's objections.

Respectfully submitted,

Theresa USeals